Culture

Section of the American Sociological Association

Volume 9, No. 1 (Fall 1994)

Bringing Culture Back In: Current Trends in German Sociology Hans-Peter Müller, Humboldt University

At the end of the 20th century, sociology in Germany seems to have arrived at where it once took off: a focus on culture. In the wake of the fin-de-siècle, the founding fathers of German sociology molded this discipline as a cultural science (Kulturwissenschaft). The studies resulting from this paradigm are classics by now: Georg Simmel's "Philosophy of Money" (1900), Max Weber's "Protestant Ethic" (1904), Werner Sombart's "Luxury and Capitalism" (1913) and Alfred Weber's triad of "societal process, civilizational process and cultural movement" (1912). Despite capitalism, class struggle, and the social question, German sociology received a cultural grounding from the outset. The basic idea uniting the different approaches of the founders of sociology was the sense and meaning of modern culture and history on the one hand, and the impact of social change on individual ways of life on the other.

The cultural meaning of history for sociality and individuality is, I think, equally the major driving force behind the enormous interest culture, sociology of culture, and cultural science currently attract in Germany. One may very well speculate on the reasons for this interest particularly today. It surely is a fin-de-siècle syndrome since all certainties have vanished at the eve of the 21st century. What looked

(continued on page 2)

Re-Orchestrating the Sociology of Music Karen Cerulo, Rutgers University

"Music," wrote George Elliot, "passes by me as a messenger that is not for me." Ten years ago, in my first article on music, I noted this quote as particularly applicable to our discipline's treatment of music. At the time, the sociology of music represented one of the few sociological subfields to so frequently "pass by" the very substance of its inquiry. Unfortunately, ten years later the story remains the same. Current reviews of the literature still fail to reap much work devoted to the cultural object from which the sociology of music takes its name.

Speaking of this void is in no way meant to detract from the valuable work that currently comprises the sociology of music literature. Through such research, we have learned much about organizational structure, regional composition, social change, and the ways in which these forces can influence the diffusion, reception, and evaluation of certain forms of music (see Blau 1988, 1989; Denisoff 1975; DeNora 1991; Hennion 1989; Martorella 1982; Peterson 1978, 1990a, 1990b; Peterson and Simkus 1992; or Small 1987). Other works have taught us much about the socialization and behaviors of musicians (see Becker 1963; Cameron 1985; Faulkner 1971, 1973, 1983; or Nash 1955). We have become better acquainted with musician networks (see Abbott and

(continued on page 5)

Postcard from L.A.

We all had a fine time. The sky was pure blue; we drove to Venice Beach, saw a museum or two, and had superb Tex-Mex. We talked and talked with friends and colleagues, catching up on life and work. There were many ideas and lively sessions. Inter alia, Elizabeth Long organized a galvanizing open discussion of the challenges offered by cultural studies to sociology. A panel on theory and culture stirred strong emotions when Jeff Alexander fiercely defended his work against the criticism of younger talented theorists (Mische and Emirbayer; Lee; Olick; Battani, Hall, and Powers). Having drawn no less than fifty-eight submissions, Karen Cerulo's panel on identity, which included a wonderful piece by Barry Schwartz on Lincoln and race, played to a full house even

Michèle Lamont, Princeton University & Section Chair

Earlier in the day, a session on culture across disciplinary perspectives generated spirited exchanges between our own Michael Schudson, who questioned the usefulness of cultural studies to sociology, and Andrew Ross, one of the leading representatives of cultural studies in the humanities. For the first time, the Culture Section had an author-meets-the-critics panel (around David Halle's Inside Culture) and cosponsored sessions with other sections; these formats will be used again next year. The culture program made more room for new assistant professors and advanced graduate students, this group constituting the vast majority of our membership. The

(continued on page 11)

Elsewhere in this Issue . . . Books of Note, Page 9 • Call for Papers, Back cover • News and Information: Of the Section, Page 12; Beyond the Section, Page 13 • Business Meeting Minutes, Page 14 • Section Leaders and Committees, Page 15 • About the Newsletter, Page 14

Haferkamp, Hans (ed.), 1990. Sozialstruktur und Kultur. Frankfurt am Main: Suini kamp.

Haller, Max, Hans-Jürgen Hoffmann-Nowotny, and Wolfgang Zapí (eds.), 1989.
Kultur und Gesellschaft. Verhandlungen des 24. Deutschen Soziologentags, des 11. Österreichischen Soziologentags und des 8. Kongresses der Schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Soziologie in Zürich 1988. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Häußermann, Hartmut, 1984. Wandel der Wohnverhältnisse von Arbeitern-Eine Problemskizze. In R. Ebbinghausen and F. Tilmann (eds), Das Ende der

Arbeiterbewegung.

Häußermann, Hartmut and Wolfgang Siebel, 1987. Neue Urbanität. Frankfurt am Main.

Häußermann, Hartmut and Wolfgang Siebel, 1991. "Soziologie des Wohnens. Ein Grundriß." Stadt und Raum. Pfaffenweiler: Centaurus.

Herlyn, Ingrid and Ulfert Herlyn, 1983. Wohnverhältnisse in der Bundesrepublik (first edition, 1976). Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Herlyn, Ulfert, 1990. Leben in der Stadt. Lebens- und Familienphasen in städtischen Räumen. Opladen: Leske & Budrich.

Herlyn, Ulfert et al., 1994. Neue Lebensstile in der Arbeiterschaft? Opladen: Leske & Budrich.

Hitzler, Ronald, 1986. Sinnwelten. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Hondrich, Karl-Otto and Claudia Koch-Arzberger, 1992. Solidarität in der modernen Gesellschaft. Frankfurt am Main: Fischer.

Honneth, Axel (ed.), 1993. Kommunitarismus. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Hörning, Karl Heinz, Annette Gerhardt, and Mathias Michailow, 1990.
Zeitpioniere. Flexible Arbeitzeiten—neuer Lebensstil. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Hradil, Stefan, 1987. Sozialstrukturanalyse in einer fortgeschritten Gesellschaft. Opladen: Leske & Budrich.

Kaufmann, Franz Xaver, 1988. "Familie und Modernität." In K. Lüscher, F. Schultheis, and M. Wehrspann (eds.), Die 'postmoderne' Familie, 391-415. Konstanz: Universitätsverlag.

Klages, Helmut, 1993. Traditionsbruch als Herausforderung. Perspektiven der Wertwandelsgesellschaft. Frankfurt am Main: Fischer.

Leggewie, Claus, 1990. Multi Kulti. Spielregeln für die Vielvölkerrepublik. Berlin: Rotbuch.

Lepenics, Wolf, 1992. Aufstieg und Fall der Intellectuellen. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Lepsius, M. Rainer, 1990. Interessen, Institutionen, Ideen. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Lüdtke, H., 1989. Expressive Ungleichheit. Zur Soziologie der Lebensstile. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Luhmann, Niklas, 1993. Das Recht der Gesellschaft. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp. Matthes, Joachim (ed.), 1992. Zwischen den Kulturen? (special issue of Soziale Welt). Göttingen: Schwartz.

Mooser, J., 1984. Arbeiterleben in Deutschland 1900-1970. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Müller, Hans-Peter, 1992. Sozialstruktur und Lebensstile. Der neuere theoretische Diskurs über soziale Ungleichheit (2nd. ed. 1993). Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

Müller, Hans-Peter, 1994. "Kultur und Gesellschaft. Auf dem Weg zu einer neuen Kultursoziologie?" Berliner Journal für Soziologie 4, 2: 135-156.

Müller, Hans-Peter, 1994. "Kulturcrise-oder das Schweigen der Intellektuellen." Das Parlament, No. 32-33, August 12-19. Bonn.

Müller, Hans-Peter and Bernd Wegener (eds.), 1994. Soziale Ungleichheit und soziale Gerechtigkeit. Opladen: Leske & Budrich.

Müller-Doohm, Stefan Hradil, and Klaus Neumann-Braun (eds.), 1991. Öffentlichkeit, Kultur, Massenkommunikation. Oldenburg: bis.

Münch, Richard and Neil J. Smelser (eds.), 1992. Theory of Culture. Berkeley and Los Angeles: The University of California Press.

Nave-Herz, Rosemarie (ed.), 1988. Wandel and Kontinuität der Familie in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Stuttgart: Enke.

Neckel, Sighard, 1991. Status und Scham. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm, 1986. "Kultur und Gesellschaft." In Friedhelm Neidhardt et al. 1986: 10-18.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm and Dieter Rucht, 1991. "The Analysis of Social Movements." In D. Rucht (ed.), Research on Social Movements, 421-464. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Neidhardt, Friedhelm, M. Rainer Lepsius, and Johannes Weiß (eds.), 1986. Kultur und Gesellschaft (special issue 27 of KZ/SS). Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag. Noller, Peter et al., 1994. Stadt-Welt. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus. Raschke, Joachim, 1985. Sociale Bewegungen. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Richter, Rudolf (ed.), 1994. Sinnbasteln. Wien: Böhlen.

Rosenbaum, Heidi, 1992. Proletarische Familien. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp. Rosenberger, G. (ed.), 1982. Konsum 2000. Veränderungen im Verbraucheralltag. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus. Roth, Roland and Dieter Rucht (eds.), 1987. Neue soziale Bewegungen in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Schulze, Gerhard, 1992. Die Erlebnisgesellschaft. Frankfurt am Main and New York: Campus.

Soeffner, Hans-Georg (ed.), 1988. Kultur und Alltag (special issue 6 of Soziale Welt). Göttingen: Schwartz.

Tenbruck, Friedrich H., 1989. Die kulturellen Grundlagen der Gesellschaft. Der Fall der Moderne. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.

Vester, Michael et al., 1993. Soziale Milieus im gesellschaftlichen Strukturwandel. Köln: Bund.

Vetter, Hans-Rolf (ed.), 1991. Muster moderner Lebensführung. Weinheim and München: DJL.

Voß, Gerd-Günter, 1991. Lebensführung als Arbeit. Über die Autonomie der Person im Alltag der Gesellschaft. Stuttgart: Enke.

Zapf, Wolfgang et al., 1987. Individualisierung und Sicherheit. Untersuchungen zur Lebensqualität in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. München: Beck.

Zoll, Rainer, 1993. Alltagssolidarität und Individualismus. Zum soziokulturellen Wandel. Frankfurt am Main.

Cerulo on Musical Sociology

(continued from page 1)

Hrycak 1990; or Stebbins 1976; 1979), and have gained a better understanding of music's audiences (see Berger 1947; DiMaggio, Useem, and Brown 1978; Kamin 1974; Robinson 1977; or Schuessler 1948).1 Yet, amidst this massive literature, with all its undeniable virtues, works devoted directly to music are scarce.2 Few have probed the variations in musical structure and content, the social forces and processes linked to those variations, the meanings derived from different musical structures, and the ways in which music can influence social behavior. Indeed, if we exclude "classics" by theorists such as Adorno, Honigsheim, Simmel, or Weber,3 we are left with only a handful of sociologists who have tackled the study of music head on: e.g., Jones's (1963) work on the blues, Bergesen's (1976) work on musical "codes," Harris and Sandresky's attempts to classify musical meaning (1985a; 1985b; 1990), Dowd's (1992) work on popular hit records, and my own work, first on war music and later on national anthems (Cerulo 1984; 1988; 1989a; 1989b; 1993; forthcoming).

In many ways, sociology's reluctance to tackle music "head on" is unique within the social sciences. Those in related disciplines regularly and comfortably travel where sociologists seem fearful to tread. Such efforts have generated exciting research discoveries, and they have usurped many of the research agendas that seem more appropriate to the sociological eye. Consider, for example, the prolific work of psychologist Dean K. Simonton. Through computer analysis of melody note configurations, Simonton (1984; 1986; 1987; 1989; 1994) has been able to establish the relative originality of melodies and rhythms in classical works. More importantly, he has linked variations in originality to social factors such as the audience popularity of a composition, or the critical evaluation of a composition's aesthetic merit. Further, he has isolated the artistic forms, biographical scenarios, and social forums most likely to elicit originality from a composer. Other psychologists have addressed music's role in stimulating, changing, or aiding certain emotional and behavioral responses (see Alpert and Alpert 1990; Benes et al. 1990; Kellaris and Rice 1993; Palmer 1992; Roe 1992; Sloboda 1992; Wells 1990; Yalch 1991). Such works have

(continued on page 6)

uncovered interesting response patterns, including the dampening effects of slow tempos and links between dissonance and irritability. But this line of research has also yielded findings of central sociological interest, including the intricate ways in which members of various social categories (i.e., class, gender, race) enjoy, interpret, and remember musical symbols. Further, such work is illuminating the ways in which different social groups use music to manage sadness, depression, happiness, etc.

Ethnomusicologists and anthropologists also have benefited from their willingness to deal directly with musical objects. In so doing, they too have generated findings well suited to the sociological domain. Allan Lomax,4 for example, has successfully located music structure in the characteristics of the groups from which it emerges. His work reveals the ways in which a group's division of labor, or the power arrangements between the sexes, can influence the forms and styles of a group's musical expression—i.e., monophonic versus homophonic or polyphonic song styles. In a similar vein, anthropologists have examined the traditional music of specific groups or tribes, charting a group's particular song style and performance mode, and noting the effects of history, class, and gender composition, migration, acculturation, and assimilation on these musical characteristics (see Erlmann 1990; Henry 1988; Keeling 1985, 1989, 1992; Marosevic 1992). Such works provide special insight into the social conditions under which a group maintains a unique strategy of expression versus those conditions that stimulate the merging of various expressive styles.

Communication researchers, too, have enthusiastically pursued the study of music, exploring issues such as music's rhetorical properties, the role of technology in changing definitions of music, and music's effects on consumerism (Bruner 1990; Frederickson 1989; Jones and Schumacher 1992; McGuire 1991; Stout et al. 1990; Tankel 1990). Among semioticians, efforts have ensued to map a "language" of music (Bierswisch 1983; Dunbar-Hall 1991; Dunsby and Whittall 1988; Jackendoff and Lerdahl 1981; Nattiez 1967). Such scholars have helped us to better understand the systems by which groups and cultures combine identical signs (i.e., the notes of the diatonic scales) to create different musical styles.

This brief review of the literature suggests an important contrast. While other disciplines have willingly included music within the scope of their intellectual discourse, sociology has, comparatively speaking, given up its claim to this arena of inquiry. Some might be tempted to allow this pattern to continue. Since other disciplines have taken up this research gauntlet, must sociology enter the fray? I cannot help but think that such a stance is misguided; a full understanding of music, its structure, its social role, and its behavioral effects will only be enhanced by the sociologist's unique perspective.

Knowing the ease with which other disciplines approach music, one cannot help but question sociology's reluctant stance. What accounts for our hesitation in this regard? At one level, sociology's heavy reliance on positivism may help to explain our silence on music. From the positivistic perspective, music represents a slippery entity, a data source too difficult to apprehend. Indeed, many have argued that no

method providing the intersubjective reliability and objectivity required for systematic research can adequately capture the true essence of music. Positivism, however, represents only a part of sociology's problems with music. For while positivism promotes the notion that music cannot be objectively analyzed, interpretive sociologists (well represented within the sociology of art and culture) frequently promote the notion that music should not be objectively analyzed. Despite the fact that objective measures of music structure have been enthusiastically pursued by music theorists themselves (Allen Forte, Leonard Meyer, Heinrich Schenker, or Joseph Youngblood), the interpretive tradition continues to define such attempts as naive empiricism and potential debasements of music.

This philosophical tug of war has spawned obvious consequences for the field. It has created a literature that speaks around music rather than about it. And in many cases, it has delayed our meeting with music by making debates on aesthetic value and meaning a prerequisite to research. Consider the issues that routinely occupy the attentions of the field: What constitutes the true musical signifier-the note, the phrase, the composition? Do musical signifiers have inherent meaning or are they arbitrary in origin? What should be our unit of analysis—the musical score or the performance? Is the quality of music subjectively or objectively determined? Are elite/popular music distinctions valid? Such issues are, no doubt, interesting. Yet, debating these questions with our full energies threatens to preclude research progress. For the arbitrariness of a musical signifier should not dissuade our pursuit of the meanings it portrays. The study of both written and performed music can be pursued with the same vigor linguists invoke in their concurrent study of both language and talk. And regardless of questions of value, both "serious" and "popular" music are social facts worthy of our attention.

It seems time to exit this philosophical labyrinth and develop an organized research agenda, one committed to the goal of bringing music back in. In my mind, such an agenda contains several clear steps. First, we must re-orient our approach to music, divorcing ourselves from the position that music is either too sacred, too special, or too enigmatic for systematic analysis. In the current social environment, music constitutes an omnipresent and powerful cultural tool. Music forms the backdrop to a variety of social events and settings, from exercise classes and therapy sessions to religious ceremonies and government rituals. We hear music, indeed we have come to expect it, when we are at the supermarket, at the ball park, in the car, in an elevator, when placed on telephone "hold" by our insurance companies or catalogue warehouses, and even when "logging on" with our newest computer software. Music has proven capable of both rallying and calming us; it can "rock and roll" us, or join us in prayer and somber reflection. Music functions as a signature for celebrities, broadcast news shows, corporations, and nations; it frequently becomes the leitmotif of protest movements or significant social events. We use music to mark important moments in our lives, as we recall our college's "fight" song, the tune to which we danced at our wedding, or our favorite childhood lullaby. Music-of all types and qualities-virtually surrounds us. It is a widespread social phenomenon worthy of our most focused attentions.

Once reminded of the centrality of our data, we must continue to seek an appropriate methodology with which to analyze music. In so doing, we must resist the temptation to approach such methods as a debasement of an art product's essence. Understanding the workings of grammar does not destroy our ability to discern the comparative quality of a Dr. Seuss nursery rhyme versus a Fitzgerald novel. Grasping the mechanics of color perception does not hinder us from recognizing the differences between our preschooler's latest finger painting and Monet's "Water Lilies." Thus, the methods for capturing music structure must be viewed as opportunities-tools that enhance our capacity for meaningful comparison and intersubjective discourse. Rather than fighting against the measurement of music, we must direct our attention to perfecting and enriching such techniques. For example, in designing my own measures of music structure, I have attended to characteristics such as note sequencing, range and method of musical motion, interval construction, sound range, dynamic variability, key construction and change, form complexity, meter changes, tempo, use of syncopation, etc. My selections represent the items most common to musicological analyses. But my criteria are by no means exhaustive. Future research demands that we explore additional indicators of music structure. Further, we must begin to tap additional qualities of music; measures of music's innovativeness, sensuousness, agreeableness, and motivational power remain to be developed. Within this measurement endeavor, however, the challenge of accessibility must remain in the foreground. Sociologists are not required to become trained physicians in order to specialize in medical sociology research, nor schooled lawyers in order to study the sociology of law. Thus, sociologists of music must avoid the needless exclusionism that can result from methodologies requiring extensive musicological training.

A third step of the research agenda I am proposing requires an aggressive expansion of work devoted to the direct study of music. While the current literature is limited, it nevertheless cites a number of relationships that deserve further attention. To date, social elements such as group heterogeneity (Bergesen 1979), performer characteristics, sole authorship and production, industry competition, high-tech instrumentation (Dowd 1992), and large scale social disruption (Cerulo 1984; 1989; forthcoming) have been linked to the production of embellished music structures. Similarly, the structural location from which music is produced appears associated with music structure: composers located in peripheral areas of a social system tend to produce the most embellished musical scores (Cerulo 1993; forthcoming). Patient empirical inquiry is required if we are to better understand these complex links between the social and cultural domains. And additional research energies are demanded for the complex questions still before us. What are the connections between music structure and reception-are some structures more popular than others? What links exist between music structure and audience action-are some structures more stimulating than others? And do the social processes and events that affect music structure influence the aesthetic and emotional qualities of music as well?

Finally, the time has come to test some of our suppositions regarding musical meaning. Note that objective criteria for the measurement of the musical object open new and exciting research doors in this arena. Using such indicators, it becomes possible to group musical pieces according to distinguishing characteristics of their structures: complexity, density, irregularity, etc. Once we are doing this, we can, for example, begin to chart receiver response to various musical structures, exploring what certain structures mean to those who hear them. In addition, we can probe the universality of structural meaning, separating structure's influence from factors such as a receiver's prior emotional condition, or the context in which the music is heard. The issue of meaning can also be explored by comparing verbal themes with the musical structures that support them, providing a sender's perspective on intended structural meaning.6

In essence, the agenda I am proposing strives to re-orchestrate the sociology of music. It promotes a field that directly addresses the subject of its inquiry. To be sure, this agenda is a demanding one, but our efforts promise a rich reward as we learn more about the ways in which the social and the cultural intersect. In light of what is at stake, it indeed seems high time for sociologists to "face the music."

NOTES

- This review of the literature is intended to be illustrative rather
- ² Some might argue that the production of culture perspective ultimately addresses musical content. I would suggest, however, that those working within this approach consider only the most general aspects of musical content (musical genre) and more frequently favor extra-musical content (a work's lyric theme, composer, recording artist, etc.) in their work.
- For more on these writings, see especially the collections and commentaries prepared by Peter Etzkorn.
- 4 Lomax has devoted a lifetime to the study of folk music from around the globe. His 1968 work is perhaps the most notable of his corpus.
- ⁵ Jepperson and Swidler (1994) make a similar observation.
- My own work in progress addresses this issue. I am currently categorizing a variety of popular and classical works according to their verbal themes. Guided by these themes, I am looking for similarities in the melodic structure of happiness, anger, sensuality, etc.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, Andrew, and Hrycak, Alexandria. 1990. "Measuring Resemblance in Sequence Data: An Optimal Matching Analysis of Musicians' Careers." American Journal of Sociology 96: 1: 144-185.
- Alpert, Judy I. and Alpert, Mark I. 1990. "Music Influences on Mood and Purchase Intentions." Psychology and Marketing 7: 2: 97-108. Becker, Howard. 1963. The Outsiders. New York: Free Press.
- Benes, Kathryn, Gutkin, Terry B., and Decker, T. Newall. 1990. "The Effects of Mellow and Frenetic Music on Reported Cognitions Resulting From Auditory Subliminal Messages." Journal of General Psychology 117: 1: 83-92.
- Berger, Morroe. 1947. "Jazz: Resistance to the Diffusion of a Cultural Pattern." Journal of Negro History 33: 461-494.
- Bergesen, Albert. 1979. "Spirituals, Jazz, Blues, and Soul Music: The Role of Elaborated and Restricted Codes in the Maintenance of Social Solidarity." in R. Wuthnow (ed.) The Religious Dimension: New Directions in Quantitative Research pp. 333-350. New York: Academic.
- Bierwisch, Manfred. 1983. "Mussikwissenschaft und Linguistik; eine Diskussion (mit Christian Kaden)." Beitrage zur Musikwissenschaft 3-4 196.237. Blau, Judith R. 1988. "Music as a Social Circumstance." Social Forces 66:

(continued on page 8)



883-902.

Cerulo on Musical Sociology (from page 7)

1989. The Shape of Culture: A Study of Contemporary Cultural Patterns in the United States. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bruner, Gordon C. 1990. "Music, Mood, and Marketing." Journal of Marketing 54: 4: 94-104.

Cameron, Catherine M. 1985. "Fighting With Words: American Composers' Commentary on their Work." Comparative Studies in Society and History 27: 3: 430-468.

Cerulo, Karen. 1984. "Social Disruption and Its Effects on Music: An Empirical Analysis." Social Forces 62: 4: 885-904.

1988. "Analyzing Cultural Products: A New Method of Measurement." Social Science Research 17: 317-352.

—— 1989a. "Socio-political Control and the Structure of National Symbols: An Empirical Analysis of National Anthems." Social Forces 68: 1: 76-99.

1989b. "Variations in Musical Syntax: Patterns of Usage and Methods of Measurement." Communication Research 16: 2: 45-68.

1992. "Putting It Together: Measuring the Syntax of Aural and Visual Symbols." in R. Wuthnow (ed.) Vocabularies of Public Life pp. 111-129. London: Routledge.

 1993. "Symbols and the World System: National Anthems and Flags." Sociological Forum 8: 2: 243-272.

forthcoming. Identity Designs: The Sights and Sounds of a Nation. The Arnold and Rose Monograph Series of the American Sociological Asso-

ciation. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press. Denisoff, R. Serge. 1975. Solid Gold: The Popular Record Industry. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.

DeNora, Tia. 1991. "Musical Patronage and Social Change in Beethoven's Vienna." American Journal of Sociology 97: 2: 310-346.

DiMaggio, Paul, Useem, Michael, and Brown, Paula. 1978. Audience Studies in the Performing Arts and Museums: A Critical Review. Washington DC: National Endowment for the Arts.

Dowd, Timothy J. 1992. "The Musical Structure and Social Context of Number One Songs, 1955-1988: An Exploratory Analysis." in R. Wuthnow (ed.) Vocabularies of Public Life pp. 130-157, London: Routledge.

Dunbar-Hall, Peter. 1991. "Semiotics as a Method for the Study of Popular Music." International Review on Aesthetics and the Sociology of Music 21: 2: 127-132.

Dunsby, Jonathan and Whittall, Arnold. 1988. Music Analysis in Theory and Practice. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Erlmann, Veit. 1990. "Migration and Performance: Zulu Migrant Workers' Isicathamiya Performance in South Africa, 1890-1950." Ethnomusicology 34: 2: 199-220.

Faulkner, Robert. 1971. Hollywood Studio Musicians. Chicago: Aldine. - 1973. "Career Concerns and Mobility Motivations of Orchestra Musicians." Sociological Quarterly 14: 334-349.

1983. Music On Demand: Composers and Careers in the Hollywood Film Industry. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction.

Frederickson, Jon. 1989. "Technology and Music Performance in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction." International Review on Aesthetics and the Sociology of Music 20: 2: 193-220.

Harris, Catharine T. and Sandresky, Clemens. 1985a. "Love and Death in Classical Music: Methodological Problems in Analyzing Human Meaning in Music." Symbolic Interaction 8: 2: 291-310.

1985b. "Music and Vertical Classification." International Journal of the Sociology of Language 56: 5-27.

- 1990. "Changes in Musical Languages in Unsettled Cultures." International Journal of Contemporary Sociology 27: 3-4: 155-163.

Hennion, Antoine. 1989. "An Intermediary Between Production and Consumption: The Producer of Popular Music." Science, Technology, and Human Values 14: 4: 400-424.

Henry, Edward O. 1988. "Social Structure and Music: Correlating Musical Genres and Social Categories in Bhojpuri-Speak India." International Journal of Aesthetics and the Sociology of Music 9: 2: 217-227.

Jackendoff, Ray and Lerdahl, Fred. 1981. "Generative Music Theory and its Relations to Psychology." Journal of Music Theory 25: 45-90.

Jepperson, Ronald L. and Swidler, Ann. 1994. "What Properties of Culture Should We Messure?" Poetics 22: 359-371.

Jones, LeRoi. 1963. Blues People. New York: Wm. Morrow.

Jones, Simon C. and Schumacher, Thomas G. 1992. "Muzak: On Functional Music and Power." Critical Studies in Mass Communication 9: 2: 156-169. Kamin, Jonathan. 1974. "Social Reactions to Jazz and Rock." Journal of Jazz Studies 1: 2: 3-26.

Keeling, Richard. 1985. "Contrast of Song Performance Style as a Function of Sex Role Polarity in the Hupa Brush Dance." Ethnomusicology 29: 2: 185-212.

- 1989. "Musical Evidence of Female Spiritual Life Among the Yurok." in R. H. Keeling (ed.) Women in North American Indian Music: Six Essays pp. 67-79. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Society for Ethnomusicology, Special Monograph no. 6.

- 1992. "Music and Cultural History Among the Yurok and Neighboring Tribes of Northwestern California." Journal of Anthropological Research 48: 25-48.

Kellaris, J. J. and Rice, R. C. 1993. "The Influence of Tempo, Loudness, and Gender of Listener on Response to Music." Psychology and Marketing 10: 1: 15-30.

Lomax, Alan. 1968. Folk Song Style and Culture. Washington DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Marosevic, Grozdana. 1992. "Culture as a Determinant of Folk-Singing Style: Group and Solo Singing in the Karlovacko Pokuplje Region." International Review on Aesthetics and the Sociology of Music 23: 2: 207-221.

Martorella, Rosanne. 1982. The Sociology of Opera. New York: Praeger. McGuire, Michael. 1991. "Darkness on the Edge of Town': Bruce Springsteen's Rhetoric of Optimism and Despair." in MM. J. Medhurst and T. W Benson (eds.) Rhetorical Dimensions in Media pp. 290-307. Dubuque, Iowa: Kendall/

Nash, Dennison. 1955. "Challenge and Response in the American Composer's Career." Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism 14: 116-122.

Nattiez, Jean-Jacques. 1990. Music and Discourse: Toward a Semiology of Music. trans. by C. Abate. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Palmer, Caroline. 1992. "The Role of Interpretive Preferences in Music Per-

formance." in M. R. Jones and S. Holleran (eds.) Cognitive Bases of Musical Communication pp. 249-262. Washington DC: APA.

Peterson, Richard A. 1978. "The Production of Cultural Change: The Case of Country Music. Social Research 45: 292-314.

- 1990a. "Audience and Industry Origins of the Crises in Classical Music Programming: Toward World Music." in D. B. Pankratz and V. B. Morris (eds.) The Future of the Arts: Public Policy and Arts Research pp. 209-227. New York: Praeger.

1990b. "Why 1955? Explaining the Advent of Rock Music." Popular Music 9: 1: 97-116.

Peterson, Richard A. and Simkus, Albert. 1992. "How Musical Tastes Mark Occupational Status Groups." in Michèle Lamont and Marcel Fournier (eds.) Cultivating Differences: Symbolic Boundaries and the Making of Inequality pp. 152-186. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Robinson, John. 1977. How Americans Use Time. New York: Holt, Rinehart,

Roe, Keith. 1992. "Different Destinies and Different Melodies: School Achievement, Anticipated Status, and Adolescent Tastes in Music." European Journal of Communication 7: 3: 335-358.

Schuessler, Karl. 1948. "Social Background and Musical Taste." American

Sociological Review 13: 3: 330-335.

Simonton, Dean K. 1984. "Melodic Structure and Note Transition Probabilities: A Content Analysis of 15,618 Classical Themes." Psychology and Music 12: 3-16.

1986. "Aesthetic Success in Classical Music: A Computer Analysis of 1935 Compositions." Empirical Studies of the Arts 4: 1: 1-17.

- 1987. "Musical Aesthetics and Creativity in Beethoven: A Computer Analysis of 105 Compositions." Empirical Studies of the Arts 5:2: 87-104. 1989. "The Swan Song Phenomenon: Last Work Effects for 172 Classical Composers." Psychology and Aging 4: 1: 42-47. - 1994. "Computer Content Analysis of Melodic Structure: Classical

Composers and Their Compositions." Psychology of Music 22: 31-43. Sloboda, John A. 1992. "Empirical Studies of Emotional Response to Music." in M. R. Jones and S. Holleran (eds.) Cognitive Bases of Musical Communi-

cation pp. 33-46. Washington DC: APA.

Small, Christopher. 1987. "Performance As Ritual: Sketch for an Enquiry into the True Nature of a Symphony Concert." The Sociological Review Monograph 34: 6-32.

Stebbins, Robert A. 1976. "Music Among Friends: The Social Networks of Amateur Musicians." Revue Internationale de Sociologie 12.

- 1979. Amateurs. Beverley Hills, CA: Sage.

Stout, Patricia A., Leckenby, John D., and Hecker, Sidney. 1990. "Viewer Reactions to Music in Television Commercials." Journalism Quarterly 67:

Tankel, Jonathan D. 1990. "The Practice of Recording Music: Re-mixing as Recording." Journal of Communication 40: 3: 34-46.

Wells, Alan. 1990. "Popular Music: Emotional Use and Management." Journal of Popular Culture 24: 1: 105-117.

Yalch, R. F. 1991. "Memory in a Jingle-Jungle: Music as a Mnemonic Device in Communicating Advertising Slogans." Journal of Applied Psychology 76: 2: 268-275.